BRISTOL CITY COUNCIL

Audit Committee

17th January 2014

Report of: Director of Public Health

Report Title: Quarter 3 2013/14 Public Health Risk Register

Ward: Citywide

Officer presenting report: Barbara Coleman

Contact Telephone Number: 0117 9222935

RECOMMENDATION

The Audit Committee

1. review and approve the Public Health Directorate Risk Register at Appendix 1

SUMMARY

This report covers the third quarter risk management review for 2013/14.

Policy

It was recently agreed by the Audit Committee that Directorate risk register reports need to be presented as a main agenda item.

Consultation:

Internal: Officers from within the Directorate, including risk owners, mitigation

owners, the risk champion and the Directorate Management Team

were consulted.

External: None necessary

1. Background

Public Health transferred from the NHS to the local authority on 1st April 2013, following the changes to the NHS implemented by the government. The Director of Public Health left Bristol City Council at the end of December 2013, and we currently have an interim Director of Public Health (substantive post of Deputy Director) contracted until 31st March 2014. The post of Director of Public Health was transferred from the NHS at Strategic Director level, reporting to the Chief Executive of the authority. Following the restructure of second tier officers, the post was changed to Service Director level, reporting to the Strategic Director of the Neighbourhoods Directorate.

The risks faced by the Public Health Service are currently being identified and monitored by the use of Risk Control Sheets, and will shortly be input and thereafter administered via the SPAR.net computer system as an integral part of the new Neighbourhood Directorate.

2. Quarter 3 Directorate risk register review

- 2.1 Appendix 1 provides full details of the current directorate risks and how these risks are being managed.
- 2.2 Currently there are 8 risks recorded on the risk register and these are presented in order of highest current risk. There are 4 risks demonstrating a current risk status as "high", as follows:
 - PH0003:- Lack of access to the supply of medicines to the Bristol population due to the lack of formal governance process for development and authorisation of Patient Group Directions (PGDs) used in commissioned sexual health services.
 - PH0004:- The risk is that priorities for children and young people's public health will not be based on local children's expressed needs and outcomes will be impossible to measure over a period of time.
 - PH0007:- The risk is that the lack of the ASSIST programme will lead to an
 increase in the numbers of young people taking up smoking and a reduction in
 numbers currently smoking accessing support to enable them to stop.
 - PH0010:- The risk is to the health, wellbeing and safety of Gypsy, Traveller and Roma families in Bristol through loss of aspects of the local multi agency programme.
- 2.3 The remaining 4 risks are currently considered as medium or low risk when considering the effectiveness of current mitigation in place to manage the risk.
- 2.4 At its January 2013 meeting, the Committee requested inclusion of 'target risk' and the direction of travel since the previous quarter to be included and this analysis has been progressed and is shown in Appendix 2. The addition of this information identifies that:
 - PH0001:- Limited improvement to the Health of the Bristol population due to having insufficient funds to cover all the work programmes can be reduced to a low risk.
 - PH0005:- School nursing services to cease can be reduced to a low risk.
 - PH006:- Being aware of the levels of the risk of infectious diseases to children in care can be reduced to a low risk.
- 2.5 There is now an opportunity to develop the risk management methodology and make it more effective in reducing 'Current risk' levels. Ongoing work is now required by Risk Owners to review and challenge the information in the register and the effectiveness of the risk management strategies in respect of each risk.
- 2.6 The risk register is considered by the Directorate Management Team on a quarterly basis.

3. Risk Assessment

3.1 A robust and effective risk management process serves to minimise the risk of failures in the Council's service provision. It supports the internal control environment and governance arrangements, whilst providing for Member involvement in ensuring that risks facing the Council are properly addressed and managed.

4. Equalities Impact Assessment

- 4.1 None necessary for this report
- 5. Legal and Resource Implications
- 5.1 **Legal -** N/A
- 5.2 Resource N/A

Appendices

Appendix 1 - Qtr 3 Public Health Directorate Risk Register 2013/14

Appendix 2 - Risk Improvement: Target Projection

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Background Papers Relevant background details held on SPAR.net.

2013/14 - QUARTER 3 RISK REPORT

PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTORATE RISK REGISTER - SUMMARY HEADER

| | No. | Day | Month | Year |
|---------|-----|------|-------|------|
| VERSION | 2 | 17th | Jan | 2014 |

| | | VERSION C | ON | ITROL H | HISTORY | |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------|----|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Version No. | Reviewed By: | Review Date | | Version No. | Reviewed By: | Review Date |
| 1 | PH Business | 06/12/2013 | | | | |
| | Team | | | | | |
| 2 | Audit Committee | 17/1/2014 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| RISK ASSESSMENT | | High | H/L | H/M | H/H |
|--|------|------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| NIGH AGGEGGWENT | ı | | 3x1= 3 | 3x2= 6 | 3x3= 9 |
| METHODOLOGY | M | Med | M/L | M/M | M/H |
| | Р | | 2x1= 2 | 2x2= 4 | 2x3= 6 |
| Each risk is assessed according to | Α | Low | L/L | L/M | L/H |
| the potential impact and likelihood (low [=1], medium [=2]or high [=3]) of the risk occurring, and scored by | С | | 1x1= 1 | 1x2= 2 | 1x3= 3 |
| cross multiplying. | Т | | Low | Med | High |
| | RISK | | LIKELI | HOOD | |

| Risk (ie. threat to achievement of objectives) | PH0001 The risk is limited improvement to the health of the Bristol population because the 2013/14 actual Public Health allocation is insufficient for PH to deliver programmes of work. | 2. Risk Owner | Barbara Coleman |
|--|--|---|------------------------|
| | | 3. Assessment of Inhe (ie. before consideration | |
| | | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) |
| | | Н | М |

4. Risk Control Measures - ie Mitigation (control) actions

| # | List of actions/tasks | Name of officer responsible for the action/task | Present position: (behind schedule / on schedule/ completed) | Supplementary status notes (ie. effectiveness of mitigation, further actions planned if residual risk remains high) |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Meet with Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to discuss items in dispute | Barbara Coleman | On schedule | Agreed that all baseline funding would be scrutinized and any gaps / omissions would be highlighted by end September 2013 |
| 2 | Agree shortfall for Brook and Terrace Higgins Trust is in CCG baseline. Local authority to invoice CCG for funding. | Barbara Coleman | Behind schedule | Invoice to be raised to secure funding |
| 3 | Meet with Primary Care Support Agency (PCSA) to highlight funding shortfall and identify where funding currently sits | Barbara Coleman | On schedule | PCSA investigating where funding sits. Need to raise further invoice when clarified |
| 4 | Meet with NHS England Local Area Team (LAT) to discuss baseline funding for GPs and where there are areas which need transferred to the local authority. | Barbara Coleman | On schedule | Met with LAT and discussed. No resolution of how money will be transferred from baseline |
| 5 | Identify level of non- commissioned activity which is likely to be charged to the local authority. | Barbara Coleman | On schedule | Identified potential activity to value of £100,000. Awaiting national guidance on way forward |
| 6 | Identify level of Commissioning for Quality and Innovation (CQUIN) payment which is likely to be charged to the local authority. | Barbara Coleman | On schedule | Identified potential payment to value of £100,000. Agreed that CQUIN charge does not apply to local authority commissioned services |
| 7 | Meet with CCG to agree residual transfer of funding issues linked to Acute contracts | Barbara Coleman | On schedule | Identified additional funding issues and agreed way forward with CCG Finance. Awaiting final |

| | | | | breakdown for agreem | ent. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 5. Assessment of Residual Ris | k (ie. after consideration | of controls) | | Impact / Severity (H M L) M | Probability (H M L) M |
| | n (let aner sensideralien | o. 00.111.010) | | Н | L |
| 6. Additional Information (* Ma | ndatory fields) | | | | |
| Executive Member Portfolio * | Date risk identified * | Linked risks | Desired flags | Relevant hyperlinked | d documents / websites |
| Barbara Janke | April 2013 | | | | |
| 7. Supplementary details | | | | | |
| Supplementary general notes aborisk | out the | | | | |
| Signed by Risk Owner: | | | | Date: | |
| Loaded onto SPAR.net by: | | | | Date: | |

| | (ie. threat to ment of objectives) | PH0003 The risk is the lack of accessopulation due to the lack of development and authorisan commissioned sexual he | of formal governance pation of PGDs (Patient G | rocess for | 2. Risk Owner | Viv Harrison |
|---------|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | | 3. Assessment of Inherie. before consideration | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) |
| | | | | | М | Н |
| 4. Risk | Control Measures - ie | Mitigation (control) actions | S | | | |
| # | List of a | actions/tasks | Name of officer responsible for the action/task | Present position: (behind schedule / on schedule/ completed) | mitigation, further acti | notes (ie. effectiveness of ons planned if residual risk ains high) |
| 1 | Develop governance plegal team in develop | process proposals, involve nent | VH | Work commenced | Extension of some exi needed as expiry imm agreement and impler governance process w | nentation of formal |
| 2 | Secure formal agreer process through SLT | nent of governance | | | | |
| 3 | | levelop specification for agreed, and commission | | | | |
| 4 | Establish PGD approvagreed policy | al group and implement | | | | |
| 5. Asse | essment of Residual F | lisk (ie. after consideration | of controls) | | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) |
| | | | | | M | Н |
| 6. Add | itional Information (* N | Mandatory fields) | | | | |
| Execu | tive Member Portfolio | Date risk identified * | Linked risks | Desired flags | Relevant hyperlinked | d documents / websites |
| Barbara | ı Janke | 25 th November 2013 | | | | |

| 7. Supplementary details | |
|--|-------|
| Supplementary general notes about the risk | |
| Signed by Risk Owner: | Date: |
| Loaded onto SPAR.net by: | Date: |

| | (ie. threat to nent of objectives) | PH0004 The risk is that priorities for will not be based on local of be impossible to measure of that the pupil voice survey 5000 children and young perfunding. This was previous Having run the survey for 3 data being used to inform proung people's services. | hildren's expressed need over a period of time. that records the views exple in Bristol will cease by funded for £24,000 from the years we are now seeing the expensive seeing the second seed of the seed of the expensive | and outcomes will and opinions of over se due to lack of om external sources. ng evidence of the | 2. Risk Owner | Anne Colquhoun (PH0005) |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | Assessment of Inhe (ie. before consideration | |
| | | | | | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) |
| | | | | | Н | Н |
| 4. Risk | Control Measures - | e Mitigation (control) actions | S | | | |
| " | # List of actions/tasks | | Name of officer | (behind schedule / on mitigation, further | | notes (ie. effectiveness of |
| # | List of | actions/tasks | responsible for the action/task | | | ons planned if residual risk lins high) |
| 1 | | pupil voice survey with | · · | | rema | ons planned if residual risk |
| 1 | Decide ownership of performance monitor | pupil voice survey with | action/task | schedule/ completed | Decision to run survey | ons planned if residual risk lins high) |
| 1 2 | Decide ownership of performance monitor Raise issue with Claudirector | pupil voice survey with ing team BCC udia McConnell, service | action/task Anne Colquhoun | Schedule/ completed On schedule | Decision to run survey | ons planned if residual risk lins high) |
| 1 2 3 | Decide ownership of performance monitor Raise issue with Claudirector Investigate the feasibla another organisation | pupil voice survey with ing team BCC udia McConnell, service | action/task Anne Colquhoun Anne Colquhoun Anne Colquhoun | Schedule/ completed On schedule On schedule | Decision to run survey | ons planned if residual risk lins high) |
| 1 2 3 | Decide ownership of performance monitor Raise issue with Claudirector Investigate the feasibla another organisation | pupil voice survey with ing team BCC udia McConnell, service wility of procuring from at a reduced cost | action/task Anne Colquhoun Anne Colquhoun Anne Colquhoun | Schedule/ completed On schedule On schedule | Decision to run survey will be due in 2015. Impact / Severity | ons planned if residual risk lins high) every 2 years. Next one Probability |

| Executive Member Portfolio * | Date risk identified * | Linked risks | Desired flags | Relevant h | hyperlinked documents / websites |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Brenda Massey | 1 st April 2013 | | | | |
| 7. Supplementary details | | | | | |
| Supplementary general notes aborisk | out the | | | | |
| Signed by Risk Owner: | | | ı | Date: | |
| Loaded onto SPAR.net by: | | | | Date: | |

| | (ie. threat to | PH0005 The risk is the School Nursi extend the Community Chil Bristol Trust, of which the s | d Health Partnership C | ontract with North | 2. Risk Owner | Jo Williams |
|---------|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | 3. Assessment of Inhe (ie. before consideration | |
| | | | | | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) |
| | | | | | Н | М |
| 4. Risk | Control Measures - i | e Mitigation (control) actions | 3 | | | |
| # | | actions/tasks | Name of officer responsible for the action/task | Present position: (behind schedule / or schedule/ completed | mitigation, further action | notes (ie. effectiveness of ons planned if residual risk ins high) |
| 1 | Regular communication commissioners at Cornership Commiss | nmunity Child Health | Jo Williams | On schedule | | |
| 3 | Request direct feedbath the SW Commissionir | ack about negotiations froming Support Unit, who are ation on behalf of Bristol | Jo Williams | | | |
| 4 | influence on the nego | authority to increase the tiation relating to school o discuss with Kelechi Maxwell. | Jo Williams | | | |
| 5 Ass | ossmont of Posidual I | Risk (ie. after consideration | of controls) | | Impact / Severity (H M L) M | Probability (H M L) M |
| J. A35 | essilient of Residual I | tion (i.e. alter consideration | or controls) | | Н | L |
| 6. Add | itional Information (* | Mandatory fields) | | | | |
| Execu | utive Member Portfolio | * Date risk identified * | Linked risks | Desired flags | Relevant hyperlinked | I documents / websites |

| Barbara Janke | Septembe | er 2013 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| 7. Supplementary details | | | | | | |
| Supplementary general notes a risk | habout the property of the pro | Health, Bristol C England) and NE cossible extension provider over what resolved, due to uncertainty, as w | linical Commissioning (BT is due to finish at the on until March 2016, but nether this extension win financial discussions. The well as the difficulty sec | Group, South Glouce e end of March 2014 It there has not beer Il take place. This ha The impacts include | estershire Clinion. The original on agreement be used to be a second to be a sec | ol, South Gloucestershire Public cal Commissioning Group, and NHS contract included the provision for a stween the commissioners and assion for over a year and is still not tion of the current service due to the n a shortened time period should this |
| | С | contract be lost. | | | | |
| Signed by Risk Owner: | | Jo Williams | | | Date: | 04/09/13 |

| | (ie. threat to ment of objectives) | PH0006 The risk is being aware of the children in care. The poor of to poor achievement in OFS | 2. Risk Owner | Jo Williams | | | | |
|---------|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | 3. Assessment of Inherie. before consideration | | | |
| | | | 1 | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) | | | |
| | | | | | Н | M | | |
| 4. Risk | 4. Risk Control Measures - ie Mitigation (control) actions | | | | | | | |
| # | List of | actions/tasks | Name of officer responsible for the action/task | Present position: (behind schedule / on schedule/ completed) | Supplementary status notes (ie. effectiveness of mitigation, further actions planned if residual risk remains high) | | | |
| 1 | Make service directo | rs aware of the risk | Jo Williams | Completed | The original risk request to look into this issue came from Claudia McConnell, and I have briefed Kelechi Nnoaham | | | |
| 2 | up to date data for th contacting North Bris for looked after childr | ate solution to receive some is population through stol Trust (specialist service ren), and the Child Health th West Commissioning | Jo Williams | On schedule | | | | |
| 3 | Set up a working group to solve this issue going | | Jo Williams | | Can complete this once contact established vappropriate colleagues (see #2) | | | |
| 4 | Set up a working group to solve this issue going 4 forward by ii) establishing a data sharing protocol Jo Williams to ensure on-going transfer to data to the LA | | | | A level 1 data sharing protocol has been agreed and a level 2 data shared protocol has been drawn up already for another project which we can adapt. | | | |
| 5. Asse | ssment of Residual | Risk (ie. after consideration | | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) | | | |

| 6. Additional Information (* Mandatory fields) | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Executive Member Portfolio * | Date risk identified * | Linked risks | Desired flags | Relevant h | nyperlinked documents / websites | | | |
| Barbara Janke | April 2013 | | | | | | | |
| 7. Supplementary details | 7. Supplementary details | | | | | | | |
| Supplementary general notes aborisk | The impact is the potential | at we do not have the d al risk of infectious disea in the next OFSTED ins | ata to inform whethe ases if immunisation: | er there are add s are incomplet | are neither accurate nor up to date. itional needs around immunisation ite). This is a requirement which will g to looked after children and we do | | | |
| Signed by Risk Owner: | Jo Williams | Jo Williams | | Date: | 4/9/13 | | | |
| Loaded onto SPAR.net by: | | | <u>'</u> | Date: | | | | |

| | (ie. threat to ment of objectives) T cr | he risk is that the lack of the risk is that the lack of the lack | aking yup smoking and accessing support to emme in relation to youn ue to the finishing of the of the Senior Health Pr | 2. Risk Owner | Anne Colquhoun | | |
|---------|--|---|--|---|---|--------------------------|--|
| | | | | | 3. Assessment of Inhe (ie. before consideration | | |
| | | | | | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) | |
| | | | | | н | Н | |
| 4. Risk | Control Measures - ie | Mitigation (control) actions | 3 | | | | |
| # | List of ac | ctions/tasks | Name of officer responsible for the action/task | Present position: (behind schedule / or schedule/ completed | | | |
| 1 | As tobacco control sits programme areas in pudiscussion is needed to and way forward | blic health, a joint | Anne Colquhoun | On schedule | | | |
| 2 | Analysis of evidence of completed to inform the | effectiveness has been above discussions. | Anne Colquhoun | On schedule | | | |
| 5. Asse | | sk (ie. after consideration | of controls) | | Impact / Severity (H M L) M | Probability (H M L) M | |
| | | | | | Н | Н | |
| 6. Addi | tional Information (* M | andatory fields) | | | | | |
| Execu | tive Member Portfolio * | Date risk identified * | Linked risks | Desired flags | Relevant hyperlinked | documents / websites | |
| Barbara | Janke | 1 st April 2013 | | | | | |

| 7. Supplementary details | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Supplementary general notes about the risk | | | | | | |
| Signed by Risk Owner: | | Date: | | | | |
| orgined by Risk Owner. | | Date. | | | | |
| Loaded onto SPAR.net by: | | Date: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | PH009 Risk to achievement of PH0 | OF indicator: 2.14 Smok | sing prevalence – | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | (ie. threat to ment of objectives) | adults (over 18s) Risk of missing 4 week quit accessing services in Q1, p will contribute to prevalence less people quitting. | eople using e cig may b | drop in smokers be a contributor. This | 2. Risk Owner | Lynn Stanley | |
| | | | | | 3. Assessment of Inhe (ie. before consideration | | |
| | | | | | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) | |
| | | | Medium | Medium | | | |
| | | | | | Modiaiii | Mediaiii | |
| 4. Risk | Control Measures - i | ie Mitigation (control) actions | 3 | | Modium | Wedium | |
| 4. Risk # | | ie Mitigation (control) actions | Name of officer responsible for the action/task | Present position: (behind schedule / or schedule/ completed) | Supplementary status mitigation, further action | notes (ie. effectiveness of ons planned if residual risk ins high) | |
| | List of | actions/tasks actices to discuss how the ssed | Name of officer responsible for the action/task Lynn Stanley and locality advisors in | (behind schedule / or | Supplementary status mitigation, further action rema | notes (ie. effectiveness of ons planned if residual risk ins high) | |
| 1 | List of Meeting with GP Praissue might be addressed | actions/tasks actices to discuss how the ssed g place to bring community | Name of officer responsible for the action/task Lynn Stanley and locality advisors in Smokefree Bristol team | (behind schedule / or schedule/ completed) Commencing | Supplementary status mitigation, further action rema | notes (ie. effectiveness of ons planned if residual risk ins high) nonthly and change plans y | |

| compared to previous year | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 5. Assessment of Residual Risk (ie. after consideration of controls) | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) |
| C. Addition of Residual Flore (i.e. alter consideration of controls) | M | M |

Lynn Stanley

From October 13

onwards

Talk to other service managers to see what

actions they are putting in place to tackle drop in engagement –some services in the south west

experienced a drop of 50% engagement in Q1

| 6. Additional Information (* Mandatory fields) | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Executive Member Portfolio * | Date risk identified * | Linked risks | Desired flag | gs Relevant hyperlinked documents / websites | | | | |
| Barbara Janke | October 2013 | | | | | | | |
| 7. Supplementary details | | | | | | | | |
| Supplementary general notes about the risk | | | | | | | | |
| Signed by Risk Owner: | | | Date: | | | | | |
| Loaded onto SPAR.net by: | | | Date: | | | | | |

| Risk (ie. threat to achievement of objectives) | PH0010 The risk is to the health, wellbeing and safety of Gypsy, Traveller and Roma families in Bristol through loss of aspects of local multi agency programme | 2. Risk Owner | Christina Gray |
|--|---|---|------------------------|
| | | 3. Assessment of Inhe (ie. before consideration | |
| | | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) |
| | | Н | Н |

4. Risk Control Measures - ie Mitigation (control) actions

| # | List of actions/tasks | Name of officer responsible for the action/task | | Supplementary status notes (ie. effectiveness of mitigation, further actions planned if residual risk remains high) |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| | Secure continuity of the Gypsy Traveller Education Post | II Vn i namhariain | | This is an essential post, which is central to child safeguarding for these communities. |
| | Secure continuity of funding community engagement with Gypsy Traveller Communities | Christina Gray / Tom Gilchrist | • | Engagement and trust building with local communities is essential. |
| 3 | Clarify leadership and management for multi- agency programme in Bristol | Christina Gray / Tom Gilchrist / Lyn Chamberlain | Health working collaboratively – but requires operational management capacity | Requires strong matrix working arrangements, and clarity of management and leadership - to ensure safe, supported working for issues such as safeguarding, managing violence, trafficking, dealing with encampments and access to pitch provision etc. |

| 4 | Continue to manage and health visitor contract | nue to manage and support the specialist h visitor contract | | | Included in public health ring fenced grant – on going fun | health visitor service. | and aligned with the core However the effectiveness highly reliant on the above | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 5. Assessment of Residual Risk (ie. after consideration of controls) | | | | | | Impact / Severity (H M L) | Probability (H M L) | | |
| | M H | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Addi | tional Information (* Ma | ndatory fiel | lds) | | | | | | |
| Execu | tive Member Portfolio * | Date risk | cidentified * | Linked risks | Desired flags | Relevant hyperlinked | documents / websites | | |
| | re of an executive for Gypsies and rs | 7 th November 2013 | | | | http://www.swpho.nhs.uk =90103 | x/resource/view.aspx?RID | | |
| 7. Supp | olementary details | | | l | | | | | |
| Supplementary general notes about the risk Supplementary general notes about the risk An efficient of education essential an Frontline stavulnerable in Ensuring that | | | gher levels of increase of inc | nall but significant populodation within the social er sites. There are in a effective service requiralth, engagement, house-dependant on the other these functions are oft duals and families and children and families a | lation of English Gyps Il housing and private Inddition a number of underse a seamless multine Ising, site management Index of the management Index of | rented sectors as well as nauthorised encampmen gency response – drawint and enforcement. Each | oma communities, living in son the permanent and its each year. Ing on the expert function is of these functions is The esituations, with | | |

| Signed by Risk Owner: | Christina Gray | Date: | 07/11/2013 |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------|------------|
| Loaded onto SPAR.net by: | | Date: | |

QUARTER 3 2013/14 RISK IMPROVEMENT - TARGET PROJECTION

| | SIT | TUATION AS AT QTR3 | 2013/14 | | | IMP | PROVEMENT TARGET PROJECTION |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Inherent Risk Status | Current Risk Status | Title | Last Review Date | Risk Owner | Improvement Target Status | Improvement Target Date | Risk owner recommendation |
| 6 | 3 | PH0001 - Limited improvement to Health of the Bristol population due to having insufficent funds to cover all the work programmes | 25/11/2013 | Barabara Coleman | | | |
| 6 | 6 | PH0003 - Access to supply of medicines to the Bristol population | 25/11/2013 | Viv Harrison | 3 | 31-Jan | People Panel request to be submitted at the beginning of January to employ a Pharmacist to provide the PGD support to the Public Health team |
| 9 | 9 | PH0004 - Priorities for children and young people's public health will not be based on local children's expressed needs and outcomes will be impossible to measure over a period of time. | 25/11/2013 | Anne Colquhoun | 3 | 31-Mar | Work is currently ongoing to identify the improvement target risks faced by the Public Health Service. This work will be progressed throughout 2014 within the overall risk register administered for the Neighbourhoods Directorate. |
| 6 | 3 | PH0005 - School Nursing service will cease. | 25/11/2013 | Jo Williams | | | |
| 6 | 3 | PH0006 - Being aware of the levels of the risk of infectious diseases to children in care | 25/11/2013 | Jo Williams | | | |
| 9 | 9 | PH0007 - Lack of the programme support will lead to an increase in the numbers of young people taking yup smoking and a reduction in numbers currently smoking accessing support to enable them to stop | 25/11/2013 | Anne Colquhoun | 4 | 31-Mar | Work is currently ongoing to identify the improvement target risks faced by the Public Health Service. This work will be progressed throughout 2014 within the overall risk register administered for the Neighbourhoods Directorate. We have received authorisation to appoint a replacement from the People Panel, we are currently awaiting the outcome of the rebanding to the BG salary scale. |
| 4 | 4 | PH0009 - Failure to achieve PH targets for Smoking Prevalance / Stop Smoking | 25/11/2013 | Lynn Stanley | | | Work is currently ongoing to identify the improvement target risks faced by the Public Health Service. This work will be progressed throughout 2014 within the overall risk register administered for the Neighbourhoods Directorate. |
| 9 | 6 | PH0010 - Risk is to the health, wellbeing and safety of Gypsy, Traveller and Roma families in Bristol | 25/11/2013 | Christina Gray | 3 | 31-Mar | Work is currently ongoing to identify the improvement target risks faced by the Public Health Service. This work will be progressed throughout 2014 within the overall risk register administered for the Neighbourhoods Directorate. |